## WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SHAMROCK ENVIRONMENTAL LANDFILL

		Yes		70	Notes
RL	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84	<b>(</b> )			
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?	1	$\rangle$		
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		X		A piritigit dikeda dija shiring marana masa masa masa masa shiring dia c
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		>		
R Fu	igitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4	))	<b>/</b>		
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	λ		**************************************	And the second s
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	X	<i></i>		- The state of the
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?	7	X		
7,	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?	<del>i </del>	X		
3,	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.		×		TO SERVICE THE SER
*	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	χ			ittiration ti — ministrapaja sine titutat kangija assas.
).	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question		X		<del>ing digitation of William of English and the</del>
न्द्रेश भू र भू	Were the citizen complaints logged?	723.	大		